FUSTIPEN Topical Meeting

«Fission at FUSTIPEN II: recent observables and their modeling »

From fission yields to scission properties

Fanny Farget, Dominique Durand GANIL May 4th, 2016





The strength of inverse kinematics for fission



M. Caamaño et al., PRC 88 (2013) 024605



$$\langle N \rangle(Z) = \frac{\sum\limits_{A} A Y(Z, A)}{\sum\limits_{A} Y(Z, A)} - Z.$$

The strength of inverse kinematics for fission





M. Caamaño et al., PRC 88 (2013) 024605



$$\langle N \rangle(Z) = \frac{\sum\limits_{A} A Y(Z, A)}{\sum\limits_{A} Y(Z, A)} - Z.$$

Assets of the experimental set-up: Reconstruction of kinematical properties



Neutron number N

M. Caamaño, F. Farget et al. PRC 92, 034606 (201.)

Average velocity of fission fragments

$$\langle V \rangle (Z) = \frac{\sum_{A} Y(A,Z)V(Z,A)}{\sum_{A} Y(A,Z)}$$



M. Caamaño, F. Farget et al. PRC 92, 034606 (2015)



$$A_{1}^{*}v_{1}^{*} = A_{2}^{*}v_{2}^{*}$$

$$< A_{1}^{*} + < A_{2}^{*} = A_{c}^{*}$$

$$< v_{1,2}^{*} = < v_{1,2}^{*}$$

$$< v_{1}^{*} / < v_{2}^{*} = < A_{2}^{*} / < A_{1}^{*}$$

Momentum conservation Mass conservation Isotropic evaporation

$$= A_{c}(/(+))$$

 $= A_{c}^{-}$

Average neutron excess @ scission



Average neutron multiplicities @ scission



Determination of TKE(Z)



 $KE(Z_1) = 1/2 < A_1^* > (Z_1) < V_1 > 2$

 $\mathsf{TKE}(\mathsf{Z}_1) = \mathsf{KE}(\mathsf{Z}_1) + \mathsf{KE}(\mathsf{Z}_c - \mathsf{Z}_1)$



Determination of TXE





Sharing of TXE

Considering statistical equilibrium at scission

$$\bar{E}_{1} = \frac{\int_{0}^{E} E_{1} \rho_{1}(E_{1}) \rho_{2}(E - E_{1}) dE_{1}}{\int_{0}^{E} \rho_{1}(E_{1}) \rho_{2}(E - E_{1}) dE_{1}}$$

And the Fermi level density

$$\rho(E_i^*) \sim e^{2\sqrt{a_i E_i^*}}$$

TXE shares following the level density parameters

$$E_i^* = \frac{a_i E^*}{a_1 + a_2}$$

The statistical weight of each fission channel :

$$W_{12} =
ho_1(E_1^*)
ho_2(E_2^*)$$

Standard level density parameter

 $a_0 = A/8$



12

Evolution of level density parameter with isospin ?

$$a_i = rac{A_i^\gamma}{a_0} \phi(I_i - I_eta)$$

S. I. Al-Quraishi et al., PRC 63, 065803

2 arguments :



Approaching the drip-line, the quasi-continuum is reached at much lower energy : Life-time of states is smaller than the time to reach an equilibrium : Fermi gas is expression is not valid anymore



Number of accessible states must obey the Isospin conservation and scales from |N-Z| to |N+Z| If N>>Z, number of states is reduced

Evolution of level density parameter with isospin ?

$$a_i = rac{A_i^{\gamma}}{a_0} \phi(I_i - I_{eta})$$
 D. Durand, in preparation, 2016
 $\phi(I_i - I_{eta}) = e^{-C_0(I_i - I_{eta})^2} = e^{-rac{C_0(Z_i - Z_{eta})^2}{A_i^2}}$





Evolution of level density parameter with isospin ?



CONCLUSIONS

- Inverse kinematics is a powerful method
 - Broad range of actinides produced
 - Isotopic distribution
 - Kinematical properties
 - Access to the scission point !!
 - Neutron evaporation multiplicity
 - Neutron and proton sharing
 - Evidence for (strong) charge polarisation at scission, even at moderate (high) excitation energy
 - Polarisation is a new and very sensitive observable to the description of fission
 - Effect of isospin on level density
 - Other property of the deformed scission nuclei ?

Scission point model: minimization of the total potential energy

$$\begin{split} V(N_1, Z_1, \beta_1, N_2, Z_2, \beta_2, \tau, d) &= V_{\text{LD}_1}(N_1, Z_1, \beta_1) + V_{\text{LD}_2}(N_2, Z_2, \beta_2) \\ &\quad + S_1(N_1, \beta_1, \tau) + S_1(Z_1, \beta_1, \tau) + S_2(N_2, \beta_2, \tau) + S_2(Z_2, \beta_2, \tau) \\ &\quad + P_1(N_1, \beta_1, \tau) + P_1(Z_1, \beta_1, \tau) + P_2(N_2, \beta_2, \tau) + P_2(Z_2, \beta_2, \tau) \\ &\quad + V_C(N_1, Z_1, \beta_1, N_2, Z_2, \beta_2, d) + V_n(N_1, Z_1, \beta_1, N_2, Z_2, \beta_2, d) \,, \end{split}$$

²⁵⁰Cf E*=45 MeV : only liquid-drop terms play a role (shell effects disapeared)

$$\begin{split} V_{LD}(Z, N, \beta) &= a_a A - a_s A^{2/3} (1 + 0.4 \ \alpha^2) \\ &- 1.78 \ I^2(a_a A - a_s A^{2/3} (1 + 0.4 \ \alpha^2)) \\ &+ Z^2((0.705/A^{1/3})(1 - 0.2 \ \alpha^2) \ -1.15/A) \end{split}$$

W.D. Myers, and W.J. Swiatecki, Ark. Fys., 36, 343, (1967)



Scission point model: influence of different mass terms



Diminution of symmetry energy with deformation ?



Gaidarov et al., PRC 85 (2012) 064319

A diminution of 10% is predicted when deformation increases From 0 to 0.4

 \Rightarrow What happens at scission deformation ??

 \Rightarrow Effect of density ??

Other explanation: Remaining of shell effects in BE







Scission-point model with shell effects



Shell effects remain quite strong, even at $E^*=45$ MeV ??

